

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2004) KLR VOL 7 PART 184 pp. 1923 - 2054

JULY 2004

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

JULY CONTD.

5. Okelola v. Adeleke p. 1923
6. Ezemba v. Ibeneme p. 1939
7. Ezukwu v. Ukachukwu p. 2001
8. Ladejobi v. Oguntayo p. 2031

ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2004) 7 KLR

ACTIONS - Locus standi - Cause of action - Representative action on behalf of a ruling house - Whether there is a reasonable cause of action - Is the matter in this case (H4) Ladejobi v. Oguntayo p. 2031

ACTIONS - Locus standi - Courts - Judicial precedents - Chieftaincy matters - Locus standi can be available to a ruling house - The principle in Momoh's case - Does not apply to this case (H1) Ladejobi v. Oguntayo p. 2031

ACTIONS - Representative action - On behalf of a ruling house - The real plaintiff should be seen as the ruling house - Not the individuals (H5) Ladejobi v. Oguntayo p. 2031

ACTIONS - Representative action - Where it avails - Its merit can be attacked vide a motion - But not by way of defence - Or issue of locus standi (H3) Ladejobi v. Oguntayo p. 2031

APPEALS - Briefs - Cross appeal - Respondent that did not file a cross appeal - Cannot raise a fresh issue - Save by way of preliminary objection (H1) Ezukwu v. Ukachukwu p. 2001

APPEALS - Briefs - Issues for determination - Should be derived from the grounds of appeal - And arguments should be based on the issues not the grounds (H7) Ezemba v. Ibeneme p.1939

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - That were not shown to be perverse - Will not be interfered with (H3) Okelola v. Adeleke p. 1923

APPEALS - Fresh issue - Of visit to locus in quo - Raised without leave of court - Is incompetent (H5) Ezukwu v. Ukachukwu p. 2001

APPEALS - Issues - For purposes of a brief - Any issue that will not affect the result of the appeal - Is not a proper issue (H3) Ezukwu v. Ukachukwu p. 2001

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Locus standi - Grievance of a ruling house -
Forms a basis for representative action (H2) Ladejobi v. Oguntayo p. 2031

COURTS - Actions - Locus standi - Error of lower courts - In failing to
appreciate the proper issue to decide - Will lead to reversal of their decision
(H6) Ladejobi v. Oguntayo p. 2031

EVIDENCE - Pleadings - Proof - A party is not bound to prove all
averments - Proper evaluation of evidence by trial court - Will not be
disturbed by appellate court (H8) Ezukwu v. Ukachukwu p. 2001

EVIDENCE - Proof - Burden of proof in civil cases - Is in two categories
- Appellant's defence failed - As he led no credible evidence - To contradict
that of the respondents (H4) Ezemba v. Ibeneme p.1939

EVIDENCE - Proof - Delivery of goods - Oral evidence - Exclusion of -
Is not merely based on availability of written document - But is based on
s. 132(1) EA (H2) Ezemba v. Ibeneme p.1939

EVIDENCE - Witnesses - Credibility - Two material inconsistent evidence
under oath - Will discredit a witness as being truthful (H5) Ezemba v.
Ibeneme p.1939

EVIDENCE - Witnesses - Failure to call a particular witness - Weakened
appellant's case in this matter (H6) Ezemba v. Ibeneme p.1939

LAND LAW - Identity of land in dispute - Can be proved by a clear oral
evidence - Or by a survey plan (H4) Ezukwu v. Ukachukwu p. 2001

LAND LAW - Identity of the land in dispute - Where found not to be in
doubt - Any issue raised on the matter of location of the land - Is not
relevant (H2) Ezukwu v. Ukachukwu p. 2001

LAND LAW - Possession - Pleadings - Admission - De jure possession of

iv INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2004) 7 KLR

the land in dispute - Was not admitted in favour of the respondents (H9)
Ezukwu v. Ukachukwu p. 2001

LAND LAW - Title - Common root - Party that establishes better title - Will
succeed - And plaintiff is to satisfy court - As to precise nature of title claimed
(H1) Okelola v. Adeleke p. 1923

LAND LAW - Title - Five methods of proving title to land - Where
traditional history is in issue - When recent acts of ownership - Should be
considered (H6) Ezukwu v. Ukachukwu p. 2001

LAND LAW - Title - Possession - Injunction - Where plaintiffs were not
found - To be owners in possession - Their claim for perpetual injunction
- Cannot be granted (H10) Ezukwu v. Ukachukwu p. 2001

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - The onus of proof lies on the plaintiff - And he
cannot rely on the weakness of the defence - Save where the defence supports
plaintiff's case (H2) Okelola v. Adeleke p. 1923

LAND LAW - Title - Traditional history - Recent acts of possession - Being
the basis for finding in respondents' favour - Issue of their place of
migration - Cannot be a ground for rejecting their traditional history (H7)
Ezukwu v. Ukachukwu p. 2001

PLEADINGS - Documents - Facts that are material - Should be pleaded
- And not evidence - That a document pleaded is not available - Does not
preclude plaintiff - From relying on other credible evidence (H1) Ezemba
v. Ibeneme p.1939

SALE OF GOODS - Evidence - Supply of goods - Document that reflected
lesser quantity - Than that claimed to be delivered - Is not fatal in this case
(H3) Ezemba v. Ibeneme p.1939

SUCCESSION - Wills - Jointly owned landed property - Cannot be disposed

of - By the Will of one of the late owners (H4) Okelola v. Adeleke p. 1923

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of Nigeria 1979 s. 236 Ezukwu v. Ukachukwu p. 2001

Evidence Act ss. 114(1), 4(3), 50(1) & (2) Okelola v. Adeleke p. 1923

Evidence Act 1990 s. 146 Ezukwu v. Ukachukwu p. 2001

Land Use Act, 1978 s. 30 (1) Ezukwu v. Ukachukwu p. 2001

Supreme Court Rules O. 2 r. 9 (2) Ezukwu v. Ukachukwu p. 2001

Supreme Court Rules O. 2 r. 11(1) Okelola v. Adeleke p. 1923