

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2004) KLR VOL 1 PART 171 pp. 223 - 428

JANUARY 2004

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

JANUARY CONTD.

6. National Electric Power Authority v. Ososanya p. 223
7. Federal Republic of Nigeria v. Olafisoye p. 249
8. Ewo v. Ani p. 327
9. Jack v. University of Agriculture Makurdi p. 349
10. Ibrahim v. Ojomo p. 365
11. Mark v. Eke p. 395

CORRIGENDUM see p. x

ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2004) 1 KLR

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATE - Concurrence of personal representatives - Administration of Estates Law s. 4(2) - As there is no evidence of concurrence of the administrators - And no order of court - The conveyance executed by only two of the Administrators is void - And not binding on plaintiffs (H7) Ibrahim v. Ojomo p. 365

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES - “Concurrence therein” - S. 4(2) Administration of Estates Law - Meaning - Evidence of such concurrence is not limited to the execution of the conveyance by all the personal representatives - Though it can be manifested thereby (H2) Ibrahim v. Ojomo p. 365

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES - Several personal representatives - Where they all agree that the deceased’s real estate vested in them - Should be alienated - One or some of them can validly execute a conveyance in that regard (H3) Ibrahim v. Ojomo p. 365

APPEALS - Decisions - Where a decision is found to be perverse - The appellate court is bound to interfere with such a decision - And to set it aside (H9) NEPA v. Ososanya p. 223

APPEALS - Error of lower court - Public servant - Court of Appeal was in error to hold that the respondents’ letter of termination - Were not linked with the exercise of the powers of the Head of State - Under Decree No. 17 of 1984 (H8) NEPA v. Ososanya p. 223

APPEALS - Issues - Right of appeal court to consider an issue - The issue of competency of the suit - Should not have been considered by the lower court - As there was no appeal against the judgment - No grounds to support the issue - And no leave to deal with it as a fresh issue (H13) Mark v. Eke p. 395

APPEALS - Supreme Court - Has Jurisdiction to make necessary findings - In appropriate cases where the trial court failed to do so (H6) Ibrahim

v. Ojomo p. 365

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Chapter II 1999 Constitution - Justiciability
- By a joint reading of s. 15 (5) and item 60 (a) of the exclusive legislative list - Chapter 2 becomes clearly and obviously justiciable (H6) FRN v. Olafisoye p. 249

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Federalism - Australian Constitution - The interpretation of the Australian federal system - Cannot be the basis for the interpretation of the Nigerian Constitution (H13) FRN v. Olafisoye p. 249

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Federalism - Concurrent legislative power - Power to legislate in order to prohibit corrupt practices and Abuse of power - Is concurrent and can be exercised by the federal and state governments (H10) FRN v. Olafisoye p. 249

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Federalism - Legislative powers of the National Assembly - By virtue of item 60(a) - Are extended beyond the federation - To cover “any part thereof” (H7) FRN v. Olafisoye p. 249

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Interpretation - A dead Constitution cannot be resuscitated - For the purpose of interpreting a current Constitution - To give birth to an implied term (H3) FRN v. Olafisoye p. 249

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Interpretation - Judicial precedents - Courts
- The judge is bound to interpret the provisions as laid down - Whether they agree with ideal principles of federalism or not - As was done in A-G Ondo State v. A-G Federation (H1) FRN v. Olafisoye p. 249

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Legislative power of the National Assembly
- ICPC Act 2000 - By virtue of item 60(a) of the Exclusive legislative list
- The National Assembly has the power to enact the ICPC Act 2000 (H8) FRN v. Olafisoye p. 249

iv INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2004) 1 KLR

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Legislative Powers of the National Assembly
- It has no power to enact s. 26 (3) of the Corrupt Practices and Related Offences Act 2000 - As it is ultra vires its powers (H17) FRN v. Olafisoye p. 249

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Legislative Powers of the National Assembly
- It has power to enact ss. 9 (1) (a), 9 (1) and 26 (1) (c) - Of the Corrupt Practices and Related Offences Act 2000 (H16) FRN v. Olafisoye p. 249

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Legislative Powers of the National Assembly
- The Constitution confers powers on the National Assembly - To make laws with respect to offences arising from - Connected with or pertaining to corrupt practices and abuse of power (H15) FRN v. Olafisoye p. 249

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - S. 15 (5) 1999 Constitution - Interpretation
- It is the Federal Republic of Nigeria as a state - That is looked upon to take steps to abolish all corrupt practices and abuse of power (H12) FRN v. Olafisoye p. 249

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Statutes - Interpretation - Where a constitutional provision is clear and unambiguous - The court cannot read into the provision an implied term (H2) FRN v. Olafisoye p. 249

COURT PROCESSES - Service of originating processes - Proof of - Service of process is very fundamental - To the issue of the jurisdiction and competence of the court to adjudicate (H6) Mark v. Eke p. 395

COURT PROCESSES - Service of originating processes - Service on a company - Must be at the registered office of the company - And it is bad and ineffective - If it is done at a branch office of the company (H7) Mark v. Eke p. 395

COURT PROCESSES - Service of process - Affidavit of service - Sworn by the bailiff - Was not sufficient proof of service of process on the 2nd

appellant in this case (H9) Mark v. Eke p. 395

COURT PROCESSES - Service of process - Condition sine qua non for exercise of jurisdiction - Where the defendant claims non service of originating process - And proves same - The judgment entered against him is a nullity - And can be set aside by the court (H4) Mark v. Eke p. 395

COURT PROCESSES - Service of process - On a company - Procedure for due service - Procedure for substituted service cannot be made to a corporation (H8) Mark v. Eke p. 395

COURTS - Hypothetical points - Courts do not decide hypothetical cases - Which have no bearing with the case the court is called upon to decide (H4) FRN v. Olafisoye p. 249

EVIDENCE - Conflicting affidavit evidence - In the face of direct conflict on crucial and material facts - The trial judge must call for oral evidence from the parties - Especially where there are no material available to enable the court resolve the differences in the affidavit (H12) Mark v. Eke p. 395

EVIDENCE - Proof - Burden in civil cases - Is cast on the party who asserts the affirmative of a particular issue (H4) Ibrahim v. Ojomo p. 365

EVIDENCE - Proof - Defendant failed to establish his assertion - Regarding concurrence of the former Administrators in the redevelopment project - Involving the conveyance of the properties in dispute to him (H5) Ibrahim v. Ojomo p. 365

FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS - Court with jurisdiction - The Federal High Court and the high court of a state have concurrent Jurisdiction (H1) Jack v. Uniagric p. 349

FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS - Jurisdiction of High Court - Has not been taken away by Decree No. 107 of 1993 - Even where the claim is against

vi INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2004) 1 KLR

a Federal Government Agency - It can be handled by the High Court of a state where the breach occurred (H2) Jack v. Uniagric p. 349

FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS - Procedure for its enforcement - Includes inter alia, securing leave of court first and foremost (H3) Jack v. Uniagric p. 349

JUDGMENTS - Setting aside - Any court including the supreme court - Has inherit jurisdiction to set aside its own judgment - Given in a proceeding in which there has been a fundamental defect - Which goes to the issue of jurisdiction - This can be done by motion and not necessarily by way of appeal (H3) Mark v. Eke p. 395

JUDGMENTS - Undefended list - Judgment entered on the undefended list - Is a judgment on the merits - Not on default - Court has inherent powers to set aside its default judgments (H1) Mark v. Eke p. 395

JUDGMENTS - Undefended list - Null judgment - Setting aside judgment - The judge has no power to set aside his judgment under the undefended list - As if it were a default proceeding - Even if there was a mistake - But he can set it aside - For being a null judgment (H2) Mark v. Eke p. 395

JUDGMENTS - Undefended list - Setting aside judgment - Judgment although obtained under the undefended list - Could be set aside by the court - As the defendant complained of non service which goes to the root of the case (H5) Mark v. Eke p. 395

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Distinguishing - The case of A-G Ondo State v. A-G Federation - Is not relevant to this matter - As the ICPC Act does not impose any legal duty on the state governor (H5) FRN v. Olafisoye p. 249

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Stare decisis - Decisions of foreign countries - Are merely of persuasive authority in Nigeria (H14) FRN v. Olafisoye p.

249

JURISDICTION - Ouster of - Public Officers Decree No. 17 of 1984 - Once it is established that the officer was dismissed or retired under this Decree - A trial Court would have no jurisdiction to enquire into such dismissal, retirement or termination (H2) NEPA v. Ososanya p. 223

LAND LAW - Ownership - Proof of - Five ways of proving ownership of land (H1) Ewo v. Ani p. 327

LAND LAW - Ownership - Proof - Pleadings - As the plaintiff did not plead traditional evidence - Any evidence in that line ought to be disregarded - And would go to no issue (H3) Ewo v. Ani p. 327

LAND LAW - Title - Communal ownership - Proof - The plaintiffs who claim communal ownership with defendants - Has the onus of proving same - Failing which their claim was rightly dismissed (H5) Ewo v. Ani p. 327

LAND LAW - Title - Traditional evidence - Not every story amounts to it - Evidence of events which happened within living memory - Will not be categorized as traditional evidence (H4) Ewo v. Ani p. 327

LAND LAW - Traditional Evidence - Pleadings - What does not amount to sufficient pleading of tradition - What must be pleaded where one relies on tradition - Includes who founded the land (H2) Ewo v. Ani p. 327

MASTER & SERVANT - Dismissal of a public officer summarily - Public Officers (Special Provisions) Decree No. 17 of 1984 - The Military Governor of a state or Head of State of the Federal Republic of Nigeria - Has power to summarily dismiss a public officer or remove him from public service compulsorily (H1) NEPA v. Ososanya p. 223

MASTER & SERVANT - Dismissal of public officer - Jurisdiction - Public

viii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2004) 1 KLR

officers Decree No. 17 of 1984 - Where dismissals were established to be issued on the authority of the appropriate authority - Trial court had no jurisdiction to entertain the suits (H7) NEPA v. Ososanya p. 223

MASTER & SERVANT - Dismissal of public officer - Public officers Decree No. 17 of 1984 - The letter of termination or dismissal - Must not show ex facie that it was issued pursuant to the Decree (H5) NEPA v. Ososanya p. 223

MASTER & SERVANT - Dismissal of public officer - Public officers Decree No. 17 of 1984 - In deciding whether a public officer was dismissed on the authority of the appropriate authority - Court must go beyond the four walls of the letter of dismissal (H6) NEPA v. Ososanya p. 223

MASTER & SERVANT - Dismissal of public officer - Public Officers Decree No. 17 1984 - What determines whether termination is in accordance with the Decree - Is evidence that the decision to terminate - Was taken by the appropriate authority (H4) NEPA v. Ososanya p. 223

MASTER & SERVANT - Dismissal of public officer - Where it is established that the appropriate authority or its delegate acted under the Decree - Or that the procedure adopted in putting the service to an end - Complies with the Decree - The court is precluded from going into the question of the validity of the action (H3) NEPA v. Ososanya p. 223

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Actions - Commencement - An action for breach of contract of employment - Should be commenced by writ of summons - Not by fundamental right enforcement application (H4) Jack v. Uniagric p. 349

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Service of process - Conflicting affidavits on the issue of service - The trial court should call for oral evidence to enable him determine the truth (H11) Mark v. Eke p. 395

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Service of process - Substituted service -
On a natural person - When it can be ordered - Procedure for applying for
such substituted service (H10) Mark v. Eke p. 395

STATUTES - ICPC Act 2000 - Contents - The Act does not legislate with
respect to the entire wide field of fraud (H9) FRN v. Olafisoye p. 249

STATUTES - Interpretation - Where the words are clear or unambiguous
- Those words shall be so construed - As to give effect to their literal
meaning and enforced accordingly (H1) Ibrahim v. Ojomo p. 365

WORDS & PHRASES - “State“ - Meaning of - Varies in international and
municipal law (H11) FRN v. Olafisoye p. 249

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Administration of Estates Law cap 2 Laws of Lagos State 1973 s. 4(2)
Ibrahim v. Ojomo p. 365

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria s. 42 Jack v. Uniagric p. 349

Constitution (suspension & modification) Decree No. 107 of 1993, ss. 42, 230 Jack v. Uniagric p. 349

Evidence Act SS. 134, 135 Ewo v. Ani p. 327

Fundamental Rights (Enforcement Procedure) Rules 1979, O. 1 r. 2
Jack v. Uniagric p. 349

Public Officers (Special Provisions) Decree No. 17 of 1984 ss. 1 (1), 4 (2), 3 (3) and (4) NEPA v. Ososanya p. 223

CORRIGENDUM

We are sorry we omitted **REPRESENTATION** column in Okon v. Bob (2004) 1 KLR (pt 170) 199 CA. It is as follows:-

Solomon Umoh Esq. (with Etetim Onuk Esq.) for the appellant
A. Ekong Bassey, (with Ini Udobong Esq., I. A. Inyang Esq.) for the 1st respondent
A. O. Alegeh Esq. (with Ena Okereke Esq.) for the 2nd - 4th respondents