

CITATION (2004) 2 KLR

ISSN 1117-0530

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS**

## **(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(2004) KLR VOL 2 PART 172 pp. 429 - 560**

**FEBRUARY 2004**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor**

### **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

1. Agbi v. Ibori p. 429
2. Ferodo v. Ibeto Industries Ltd. p. 489
3. Guobadia v. State p. 543

**ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2004) 2 KLR**

ACTIONS - Parties - Exhibits - Admission - Where parties and trial court were at one in admitting an exhibit - Present move by counsel to discredit that exhibit - Will not be allowed - As it will change his earlier position (H5) Agbi v. Ibori p. 429

APPEALS - Briefs - Issues - Question that fails to properly reflect facts or law in dispute - Should be struck out (H1) Agbi v. Ibori p. 429

APPEALS - Extraneous matters - Setting aside lower Court's decision - On the grounds that it considered extraneous matters - May only arise where that consideration influenced that Court's decision (H3) Agbi v. Ibori p. 429

APPEALS - Finding of fact - Will not be interfered with by an appellate Court - Save there is ample evidence of lack of evaluation (H7) Agbi v. Ibori p. 429

APPEALS - Grounds of appeal - Leave of court - Where leave was not obtained - Questions of facts or mixed law and facts will be struck out (H2) Ferodo v. Ibeto Ind. Ltd. p. 489

APPEALS - Grounds of appeal - Preliminary objection thereto - Is overruled in this case - For being unfounded (H1) Ferodo v. Ibeto Ind. Ltd. p. 489

APPEALS - Incompetence of issue or defence - The defence raised was incompetent having been abandoned in the court of Appeal - And could only be raised with leave to argue it as a new issue (H1) Guobadia v. State p. 543

APPEALS - Jurisdiction - Decision of lower Court - Was not that trial court lacked jurisdiction - But that it made some technical mistakes - In not conforming with s. 157(1) CPC - And the error did not affect exercise of jurisdiction (H10) Agbi v. Ibori p. 429

APPEALS - Leave - Allegation that leave was not obtained to appeal to Court of Appeal - Will not be taken before Supreme Court for being too late (H4) Agbi v. Ibori p. 429

APPEALS - Merit - Issue - That speculates on non existent events - Must fail - And appeals that lack merit - Will be entirely dismissed (H13) Agbi v. Ibori p. 429

APPEALS - Objection - Question that ought be raised as objection - In the course of trial - Is incompetent before the Supreme Court (H11) Agbi v. Ibori p. 429

APPEALS - Pronouncement - By an appellate Court - Can only be made - In respect of a decision of the lower Court - And not in respect of a question that was not decided (H8) Agbi v. Ibori p. 429

COURTS - Appeals - Suo Motu raising of issue - Without calling parties attention is wrong - But no miscarriage of justice - Was occasioned thereby in this case (H12) Agbi v. Ibori p. 429

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conviction - Appeals - Issues - Exhibits - Where the parties in agreement with trial court narrowed down the issues to one - Court of Appeal rightly remitted the case to the High Court - To determine whether 5th defendant - Was the person convicted vide exhibit A (H2) Agbi v. Ibori p. 429

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Defences - Insanity - An accused who pleads insanity as a defence - Has the burden on the balance of probability - Of proving that he was suffering from insanity or insane delusion - at the time the offence was committed (H2) Guobadia v. State p. 543

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Defences - Insanity - Duty of trial court - In determining the defence of insanity - Trial judge is enjoined to take into

**iv INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2004) 2 KLR**

consideration any admissible medical evidence - And the whole of the facts and the surrounding circumstances of the case (H4) *Guobadia v. State* p. 543

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Defences - Insanity - Evidence of a psychiatrist, PW5 - Is admissible and credible - And apart from it - There was ample evidence to suggest - That appellant was sane at the time the offence was committed (H5) *Guobadia v. State* p. 543

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Defences - Insanity - What the accused must prove to successfully rely on the defence under S.28 CC - Includes lack of the capacity to understand what he was doing (H3) *Guobadia v. State* p. 543

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Juveniles - Age of appellant - As there was a discrepancy as to the true age of appellant - Trial judge ought to have conducted an inquiry - To ascertain actual age of the appellant (H10) *Guobadia v. State* p. 543

EVIDENCE - Admission - Exhibit - Failure to consider s. 225(2) EA - Before admitting Exhibit A - Has not led to a miscarriage of Justice (H9) *Agbi v. Ibori* p. 429

INSANITY - Abnormal behaviour - Is not evidence of insanity (H9) *Guobadia v. State* p. 543

INSANITY - Defence of - Tendered by the accused himself - Is suspect and not usually taken seriously (H7) *Guobadia v. State* p. 543

INSANITY - Mental disorder - Mere evidence of mental disorder in an accused - Without showing that it deprived the accused of capacity to understand what he was doing - And to know that he ought not to have done the act - Is no satisfactory evidence of insanity (H8) *Guobadia v. State* p. 543

INSANITY - Motive - Mere absence of any evidence of motive for a crime  
- In not sufficient ground to infer mania (H6) Guobadia v. State p. 543

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Party - Procedure adopted by counsel on behalf of a party - Which turns out to be unfavourable - Cannot be abandoned by that party and his counsel (H6) Agbi v. Ibori p. 429

TRADE MARKS - Appeals - Concurrent findings - That only the word “Ferodo” is the registered trade mark - Without including colours and graphic designs - Is upheld by the supreme court (H7) Ferodo v. Ibeto Ind. Ltd. p. 489

TRADE MARKS - Essence and definition - A trade mark indicates connection between the goods - And person having right to use same - It includes a device, brand, name, word, etc. (H3) Ferodo v. Ibeto Ind. Ltd. p. 489

TRADE MARKS - Infringement - Circumstances under which it will be maintained - Do not exist in this case- As the word UNION - Is in capable of infringing plaintiffs’ mark FERODO (H8) Ferodo v. Ibeto Ind. Ltd. p. 489

TRADE MARKS - Infringement - Similarity of marks - Is determined by considering the marks alone - Without the associated features (H6) Ferodo v. Ibeto Ind. Ltd. p. 489

TRADE MARKS - Registered device - Proof - Colour and geometrical outlines - Were not proved to be part of the registered device (H5) Ferodo v. Ibeto Ind. Ltd. p. 489

TRADE MARKS - Registration of - Gives right to exclusive use - And right to sue for infringement and or passing off (H4) Ferodo v. Ibeto Ind. Ltd. p. 489

## **INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES**

Area Courts Act, Cap.477 of the Laws of the Federation of Nigeria, 1990 applicable to the Federal Capital Territory S. 17 (1) Agbi v. Ibori p. 429

Constitution of Nigeria 1999 s. 233(1) Guobadia v. State p. 543

Constitution of Nigeria 1999 s. 241 (2) (c) Agbi v. Ibori p. 429

Criminal Code, Cap 48 Vol. II, Laws of Bendel State of Nigeria, 1976 ss. 319(1), 28, 208 and 368(3) Guobadia v. State p. 543

Criminal Procedure Code s. 157 (1) & (2) Agbi v. Ibori p. 429

Evidence Act, Cap 112 laws of the Federation 1990 ss. 112, 114, 115, 124 of, 225, 226, 111 Agbi v. Ibori p. 429

Rules of the High Court of the Federal Capital Territory O. 35 rr. 1 & 2 Agbi v. Ibori p. 429

TRADE MARKS ACT cap 436 LAWS OF THE FEDERATION OF NIGERIA (1990) ss. 38(1), 5, 9 (1) (a), (2) & (3), 15, 11, 49, 67 Ferodo v. Ibeto Ind. Ltd. p. 489