

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2004) KLR VOL 4 PART 176 pp. 1015 - 1142

APRIL 2004

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

APRIL CONTD

11. Nigeria Deposit Insurance Corporation v. Okem Enterprises Ltd. p. 1015
12. Mojekwu v. Iwuchukwu p. 1097
13. Agwasim v. Ojichie p. 1121

COURT OF APPEAL

1. Adimora v. Ajufo p. 1133 CA

ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2004) 4 KLR

ACTIONS - Parties - Abuse of judicial process - By a Litigant - May occur in various ways - Such as multiplicity of action (H2) Agwasim v. Ojichie p. 1121

APPEALS - Abuse of judicial process - Filing an appeal before Supreme Court - And a motion before Court of Appeal - Seeking same reliefs - Is an abuse of judicial process (H1) Agwasim v. Ojichie p. 1121

APPEALS - Abuse of process - Motion to re-list struck out appeal - Was rightly struck out - As an appeal on same issue - Was pending before the Supreme Court (H3) Agwasim v. Ojichie p. 1121

APPEALS - Findings of trial court - That are worse than perverse - Confirmed by lower court - Cannot be defended from available evidence (H9) Mojekwu v. Iwuchukwu p. 1097

APPEALS - Ground of appeal - Not arising from lower court's decision - Was rightly objected to - As no leave was obtained (H11) NDIC v. Okem Enter. Ltd. p. 1015

APPEALS - Merit - Pronouncement of lower court - On repugnancy of custom - Does not affect the merit of this case (H8) Mojekwu v. Iwuchukwu p. 1097

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - "Notwithstanding anything to the contrary" - Used in s. 251(1) of the 1999 Constitution - Is to ensure that no other provision - Shall undermine that section (H4) NDIC v. Okem Enter. Ltd. p. 1015

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - "Subject to" - Used in S. 272 of the 1999 Constitution - Means subordinate, inferior to - Implying that what the section is subject to - Shall govern, control and prevail (H5) NDIC v. Okem Enter. Ltd. p. 1015

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Conflict of laws - Supremacy of the constitution - Jurisdiction of Federal High court - Decrees that are in conflict with the Constitution - Are not void - But should be read in the light - Consistent with the Constitution (H10) NDIC v. Okem Enter. Ltd. p. 1015

COURTS - Jurisdiction - Banking - Federal High Court - Constitution - Proviso to S. 251(1) (d) of the 1999 Constitution - Grants concurrent jurisdiction to State High Courts - In individual customer & bank, transaction - Without taking away Federal High Court's jurisdiction (H6) NDIC v. Okem Enter. Ltd. p. 1015

COURTS - Jurisdiction - Banking - Federal High Court - Failed bank matters - Supremacy of the Constitution - Renders Decrees that give that court - Exclusive jurisdiction in all failed bank cases - Inconsistent to an extent (H9) NDIC v. Okem Enter. Ltd. p. 1015

COURTS - Jurisdiction - Banking - Federal High Court - S. 251(1) (d) of the 1999 Constitution - Gives that court exclusive jurisdiction - In all banking transactions - Subject to the proviso in that section (H3) NDIC v. Okem Enter. Ltd. p. 1015

COURTS - Pleadings - Fair hearing - Court to limit itself - To issues raised in the pleadings - As to go outside them is denial of fair hearing (H4) Mojekwu v. Iwuchukwu p. 1097

CUSTOMARY LAW - Repugnancy - A custom is not repugnant - Just because it is inconsistent with English law - Or some principle of individual rights (H5) Mojekwu v. Iwuchukwu p. 1097

CUSTOMARY LAW - Repugnancy - Courts - Custom declared repugnant - Is wrong - As the pronouncement - Is not derived from principles of the rule of law (H3) Mojekwu v. Iwuchukwu p. 1097

iv INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2004) 4 KLR

CUSTOMARY LAW - Repugnancy - Courts - Nnewi “Oli-Ekpe” custom - Pronounced repugnant by Court of Appeal - Is not justified - As the issue was raised suo motu by that court (H6) *Mojekwu v. Iwuchukwu* p. 1097

CUSTOMARY LAW - Repugnancy - Custom that is discriminating against women - May not be repugnant in all cases (H7) *Mojekwu v. Iwuchukwu* p. 1097

EVIDENCE - Land law - Exhibits - Ignorance - Document witnessed against one’s interest - In ignorance of his entitlement - Is not valid (H1) *Mojekwu v. Iwuchukwu* p. 1097

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Banking - Jurisdiction of Federal Revenue Court - As considered in Jammal and Bronik cases - Was misconceived by the Court of Appeal in this case (H1) *NDIC v. Okem Enter. Ltd.* p. 1015

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Banking - Stare decisis - Jurisdiction of Federal High Court - Court of Appeal’s decisions in Bi Zee Bee Hotels & Fembo cases - Being wrongfully decided - Were erroneously followed by the lower court (H2) *NDIC v. Okem Enter. Ltd.* p. 1015

LANDLAW - Inheritance - Female children - Where entitled to inherit land in the absence of male children - Their deceased father’s brother cannot inherit (H2) *Mojekwu v. Iwuchukwu* p. 1097

LEGISLATION - Legal drafting - Proviso - Qualifies the ambit of the section - But not in a manner - That will neutralize powers conferred within the enactment (H8) *NDIC v. Okem Enter. Ltd.* p. 1015

STATUTES - Interpretation of - First step - Is to interpret the language of the statute - And not to seek help of earlier authorities - In a manner that distorted a true interpretation (H7) *NDIC v. Okem Enter. Ltd.* p. 1015

COURT OF APPEAL

ACTIONS - Representation - Substitution of parties - Not only sons should be substituted - For their dead fathers - But every member of the represented class (H4) Adimora v. Ajufo p. 1133 CA

APPEALS - Issues - Relevance of - Parties - Application for Substitution on ground of death - Issue of exhibition of a Supreme Court order - Does not arise in this matter (H1) Adimora v. Ajufo p. 1133 CA

COURTS - Evidence - Judicial notice - Supreme Court's order to the High Court - The Judge was entitled to take notice of it - Without its being exhibited (H2) Adimora v. Ajufo p. 1133 CA

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Parties - Substitution of - Where the matter has reached the Supreme Court - Appellant's objection to his being substituted - For the deceased defendants - Was rightly overruled (H3) Adimora v. Ajufo p. 1133 CA

SUPREME COURT - Issue - Judgment of - Being final - Issue of whether appellant's refusal to be substituted for dead defendants - Is a challenge to Supreme Court's judgment - Is a non-issue (H5) Adimora v. Ajufo p. 1133 CA

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Banks and Other Financial Institutions Decree No. 25 of 1991 s. 61 NDIC v. Okem Enter. Ltd. p. 1015

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1963, s. 78 (1) & (2) NDIC v. Okem Enter. Ltd. p. 1015

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999, ss. 1(3), 251 (1), 315 NDIC v. Okem Enter. Ltd. p. 1015

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979, ss. 230 (1)(d), 236 NDIC v. Okem Enter. Ltd. p. 1015

Failed Banks [Recovery of Debts] And Financial Malpractices in Bank Decree No .18 of 1994, ss. 3(1), 9 NDIC v. Okem Enter. Ltd. p. 1015

Federal Revenue Court Decree, 1975, s. 7 (1) NDIC v. Okem Enter. Ltd. p. 1015

N. D. I. C. Act (Cap. 301) LFN 1990 s. 28 NDIC v. Okem Enter. Ltd. p. 1015

Regional Court [Federal Jurisdiction] Act. Cap. 177 LFN and Lagos 1958, s. 3 NDIC v. Okem Enter. Ltd. p. 1015

COURT OF APPEAL

Supreme Court Rules of 1985, Order 8 Rule 17 Adimora v. Ajufo p. 1133 CA