

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2004) KLR VOL 5 PART 177 pp. 1143 - 1254

MAY 2004

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Obasanjo v. Yusuf p. 1143
2. Ojo v. Anibire p. 1205
3. Kraus Thompson Organisation v. National Institute for Policy and Strategic Studies (NIPSS) p. 1223
4. Osasona v. Ajayi p. 1237

ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2004) 5 KLR

ACTIONS - Parties - Appeals - Preliminary objection - Creation of states - That made some defendants improper parties - Amendment of those parties before the Supreme Court - Makes the preliminary objection irrelevant (H1) Osasona v. Ajayi p. 1237

APPEALS - Court of Appeal - Briefs - Failure to file brief within time - Will lead to dismissal of the appeal - On respondent's application (H1) Kraus Thompson Org. v. NIPSS p. 1223

APPEALS - Grounds of appeal - Preliminary objection against them - As not emanating from the proceedings - Or for being a fresh point - Is dismissed for being unfounded (H1) Ojo v. Anibire p. 1205

APPEALS - Grounds of appeal - Striking out - Particulars of error - Being incorporated within ground (3) - Court of Appeal was wrong - In suo motu striking it out (H3) Osasona v. Ajayi p. 1237

APPEALS - Issue - Not decided by the Court of Appeal - Will be remitted by the Supreme Court to that court to be determined - As there is no exceptional circumstance in this case (H4) Osasona v. Ajayi p. 1237

APPEALS - Miscarriage of justice - Meaning of - To conclude that miscarriage of justice occurred - Is not based on whether a different result - Would have been reached (H2) Ojo v. Anibire p. 1205

APPEALS - Rules of court - Grounds of appeal - Vagueness of - Justified their being struck out - By the Court of Appeal - For not complying with O.3 r. 2 (4) Court of Appeal Rules (H2) Osasona v. Ajayi p. 1237

ELECTION PETITIONS - Jurisdiction - Complaint of the petitioner - That deal with infractions of the Constitution - And Companies Act - Will be struck out (H3) Obasanjo v. Yusuf p. 1143

ELECTION PETITIONS - Jurisdiction - Presidential Election Tribunal -

Has original jurisdiction under s. 239(1) of the 1999 Constitution - Which does not include matters constitutionally assigned - To state or federal high courts (H1) Obasanjo v. Yusuf p. 1143

ELECTION PETITIONS - Motion - Dismissal of -Is not the case here - Since the respondent's motion succeeded in Part (H7) Obasanjo v. Yusuf p. 1143

ELECTION PETITIONS - Parties - Joinder of - Complaint that some respondents were improperly joined - Cannot be raised by another respondent (H5) Obasanjo v. Yusuf p. 1143

ELECTION PETITIONS - Parties - Misjoinder of - Where a party is struck out for misjoinder - Paragraph of the Petition relating to him - Is rightly Struck out (H8) Obasanjo v. Yusuf p. 1143

ELECTION PETITIONS - Parties - Non-joinder of necessary parties - Does not arise in paragraphs 12, 14 and 16 - As to warrant striking them out (H4) Obasanjo v. Yusuf p. 1143

ELECTION PETITIONS - Reliefs claimed - Move by respondent to have some of them struck out - Is premature - As hearing has not taken place (H6) Obasanjo v. Yusuf p. 1143

ELECTION PETITIONS - Rules & procedure - That govern an election petition - Should be the same - Whether the petition is brought under the Electoral Act - Or under the Constitution (H2) Obasanjo v. Yusuf p. 1143

ELECTION PETITIONS - Stare decisis - Judgment of the Supreme court - Was not ignored by the Election Tribunal (H9) Obasanjo v. Yusuf p. 1143

EVIDENCE - Affidavits - Effect in law - Affidavit evidence - Cannot change the law on an issue - As it is evidence and not law (H4) Kraus Thompson Org. v. NIPSS p. 1223

iv INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2004) 5 KLR

EVIDENCE - Pleadings - Land matters - Miscarriage of justice - Issues related to a piece of evidence - Did not occasion miscarriage of justice (H3) Ojo v. Anibire p. 1205

LANDLAW - Family land - Sale of - Head of family's right - Does not arise - Where the family has delegated powers - To attorneys vide exhibit K (H4) Ojo v. Anibire p. 1205

RULES OF COURT - Appeals - Re-listing of appeal - Court of Appeal Rules O.6 r. 10 - Appeal dismissed under this rule - Cannot be re-listed or revived by any court (H2) Kraus Thompson Org. v. NIPSS p. 1223

RULES OF COURT - Court of Appeal Rules - Non-compliance with the Rules - Statutory interpretation - O.7 r. 3 being a general provision - Will not apply to undermine the effect of O.6 r. 10 being a specific provision (H3) Kraus Thompson Org. v. NIPSS p. 1223

STATUTES - Interpretation - Courts - Clear provision of a law - Is to be given their ordinary interpretation by court - Though it will cause hardship (H5) Kraus Thompson Org. v. NIPSS p. 1223

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of Nigeria 1999 ss. 239 (1), 285 (1) & (2) Obasanjo v. Yusuf p. 1143

Court of Appeal Rules 1984 O. 6 r. 10, O. 7 r. 3 Kraus Thompson Org. v. NIPSS p. 1223

Court of Appeal Rules O. 3 r. 2 (4) Osasona v. Ajayi p. 1237

Decree No. 36 of 1996 Osasona v. Ajayi p. 1237

Electoral Act 2002 ss. 131 (1), 134 (1), 133 (2) Obasanjo v. Yusuf p. 1143

Evidence Act s. 74 (1) (a) Osasona v. Ajayi p. 1237

High Court Rules of Ondo State 1988, O. 24 r. 2 Osasona v. Ajayi p. 1237

Supreme Court Rules 1985, O. 6 r. 9 Kraus Thompson Org. v. NIPSS p. 1223